Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

- First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d
Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
☑ For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students’ academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 4 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 4 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>ELA</td>
<td>Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 6 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Grade 7 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 7 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Grade 8 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 8 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 3 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 3 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Grade 4 Science</th>
<th>Grade 8 Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global 2</th>
<th>US History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Global 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Living Environment</th>
<th>Earth Science</th>
<th>Chemistry</th>
<th>Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Living Environment</td>
<td>Earth Science</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algebra I</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Algebra II/Trigonometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Common Core Algebra</td>
<td>Common Core Geometry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

• District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
• District- or BOCES-wide results
Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☑ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES:** Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade/Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| K ELA         | School- or program-wide group, team or linked results | ☑ Grade 4 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ Grade 8 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ All Regents given in building/district |                          |
| K Math        | School- or program-wide group, team or linked results | ☑ Grade 4 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ Grade 8 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ All Regents given in building/district |                          |
| 1 ELA         | School- or program-wide group, team or linked results | ☑ Grade 4 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ Grade 8 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ All Regents given in building/district |                          |
| 1 Math        | School- or program-wide group, team or linked results | ☑ Grade 4 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ Grade 8 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ All Regents given in building/district |                          |
| 2 ELA         | School- or program-wide group, team or linked results | ☑ Grade 4 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ Grade 8 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ All Regents given in building/district |                          |
| 2 Math        | School- or program-wide group, team or linked results | ☑ Grade 4 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ Grade 8 Science  
              |                                 | ☑ All Regents given in building/district |                          |
2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Science</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Science</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Social Studies</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Social Studies</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Social Studies</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global 1</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Global 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-6) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click “Add Row”.

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>☐ Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highly Effective | Effective | Developing | Ineffective
2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher’s SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher’s 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Course(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>☐ 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>☐ 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>☐ 5 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>☐ 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>☐ 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Science</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>☐ 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.
4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.

☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district’s/BOCES’ approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands
### Overall Observation Category Score and Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective:</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective:</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing:</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEDI Category</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective:</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective:</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing:</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

**Required Subcomponents:**
- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**
- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
**4.6) Assurances**

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

**4.7) Number and Method of Observations**

*Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.*

**Tenured Teachers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Probationary Teachers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1) Scoring Ranges

### Student Performance
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Teacher Observation
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.
6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TIP.pdf

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

APPEALS OF INEFFECTIVE AND DEVELOPING RATINGS ONLY
All educators who receive a rating of ineffective or developing shall be issued an improvement plan. As set forth in Section 3012-d of the Education Law and Regents Rules 30-3.12, an educator who receives an ineffective or developing rating may appeal the rating based on one or more of the following conditions:
1. the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following: in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
2. the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
3. the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL
Evaluations may only be appealed once. Any grounds not included in the initial appeal will be considered waived.

BURDEN OF PROOF
In an appeal the educator has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested at the burden of establishing the facts upon which the educator seeks relief.

TIME FRAME FOR FILING APPEAL
All appeals must be submitted in writing within five (5) school days of the receipt of annual evaluation or the issuance of an improvement plan. The failure to file an appeal within these timelines shall be considered a waiver of the right to appeal. The appeal must include a detailed written description of the specific challenges to the performance review or improvement plan and any associated documentation. Information not filed in a timely fashion shall not be considered. Timelines may be extended only by mutual agreement. Regardless of any such extension, the process shall be carried out in a timely and expeditious manner as required by Education Law 3012-d.

TIME FRAME FOR DISTRICT RESPONSE/DECISION-MAKER
Within five (5) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools or designee shall render a determination, in writing, respecting the appeal. The written decision must include a detailed response to all claims and set forth the reasons and factual basis for the decision and any associated documentation. Timelines may be extended only by mutual agreement. Regardless of any such extension, the process shall be carried out in a timely and expeditious manner as required by Education Law 3012-d.

Based on evidence of substantial error or defect, the decision may set aside or modify the rating. Based on procedural error, the decision may require a new evaluation.

EXCLUSIVITY
The 3012-d appeal procedure should be the only means for reviewing or challenging an educator’s rating and/or improvement plan. The final determination shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum except as otherwise authorized by law.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

**Process**

All classroom observations, both announced and unannounced will be conducted by certified, trained administrators who receive annual training. Principals will serve as evaluators or lead evaluators for their respective buildings and, in addition to carrying out observations themselves will also assign other trained, building-based administrators to conduct classroom observations, including assistant principals and the director of counseling. Independent observers will also be licensed administrators, including the superintendent, assistant superintendent, director of technology, and the director of curriculum instruction. Independent observers will also participate in annual training sessions.

Based on satisfactory completion of training and the recommendation of the superintendent, the Board of Education will annually certify and recertify that all lead evaluators have met the requirements set forth in NY regulations.

**Content**

All lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators will receive the same training pursuant to 3012-d of the Education Law and 30-3.10 of the Regents rules. The training will address the following nine components:

1. the New York State teaching standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;
2. evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
3. (application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart;
4. (application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
5. application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals;
6. application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the student performance category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals;
7. use of the statewide instructional reporting system;
8. the scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and
9. specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

(The requirements for independent evaluators are contained within the content specified above.)

**Duration**

All evaluators will complete initial training supplied by SWBOCES, qualified consultants, and/or vendor-supplied tutorials. The duration of this training is a minimum of three days.

All evaluators also participate in annual follow-up training for purposes of reviewing standards and ensuring inter-rater reliability. This training includes a review of actual scored rubrics and group scoring of select video episodes to ensure high standards and inter-rater reliability. This work requires a minimum of four hours during the summer and regular monitoring and review during the school year, typically requiring another four hours. The training also addresses the overall process, coordination, communication, and clarifying connections between rubric components and district goals.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher’s evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure. (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades K-6 Building</th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades 7-12 Building</th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA, Grade 5 ELA, Grade 4 Math, Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Grade 6 ELA, Grade 7 ELA, Grade 8 ELA, Grade 6 Math, Grade 7 Math, Grade 8 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.
7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-100</td>
<td>93-96</td>
<td>90-92</td>
<td>85-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑️ Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.

☑️ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.

☑️ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.

☑️ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.

☑️ Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.

☑️ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.

☑️ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

• If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

• If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-2 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 11-12 Building</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.
## 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 19 18</td>
<td>17 16 15</td>
<td>14 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-100</td>
<td>93-92</td>
<td>85-89</td>
<td>75-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: [https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d](https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d).

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ✔ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ✔ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ✔ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- ✔ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.ccschools.org/initiatives/appr.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ✔ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ✔ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- ✔ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Simple Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ✔ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
### Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Category</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

**Required Subcomponents:**
- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**
- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

*If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probationary Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal School Visit Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal School Visit Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

pip.pdf

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

**Please check the box below.**

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

1. the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
   1. in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

2. the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

3. the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

**APPEALS OF INEFFECTIVE AND DEVELOPING RATINGS ONLY**

An improvement plan will be issued to all educators who receive a rating of ineffective or developing. As set forth in Section 3012-d of the Education Law and Regents Rules 30-3.12, an educator who receives an ineffective or developing rating may appeal the rating based on or more of the following conditions:

1. the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following: in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
2. the school district’s/BOCES’ adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
3. the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district’s/BOCES’ issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

**PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL**

Evaluations may only be appealed once. Any grounds not included in the initial appeal will be considered waived.

**BURDEN OF PROOF**

In an appeal the educator has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested at the burden of establishing the facts upon which the educator seeks relief.

**TIME FRAME FOR FILING APPEAL**

All appeals must be submitted in writing within five (5) school days of the receipt of annual evaluation or the issuance of an improvement plan. The failure to file an appeal within these timelines shall be considered a waiver of the right to appeal. The appeal must include a detailed written description of the specific challenges to the performance review or improvement plan and any associated documentation. Information not filed in a timely fashion shall not be considered. Time lines may be extended only by mutual agreement. Regardless of any such extension, the process shall be carried out in a timely and expeditious manner as required by Education Law 3012-d.

**TIME FRAME FOR DISTRICT RESPONSE/DECISION-MAKER**

Within five (5) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools shall render a written decision regarding the appeal. In the event that the Superintendent of Schools issued the performance review or improvement plan, the administrator’s unit and the Superintendent will jointly name an independent party to hear the appeal. This shall be accomplished within five (5) school days of receiving the appeal. This independent reviewer will render a written decision on the appeal no later than five (5) additional school days following his/her appointment. Time lines may be extended only by mutual agreement. Regardless of any such extension, the process shall be carried out in a timely and expeditious manner as required by Education Law 3012-d.

The written response must include a detailed response to all claims and set forth the reasons and factual basis for the decision and any associated documentation. Based on evidence of substantial error or defect, the decision may set aside or modify the rating. Based on procedural error, the decision may require a new evaluation. The decision will be final and binding.

**EXCLUSIVITY**

The 3012-d appeal procedure should be the only means for reviewing or challenging an educator’s rating and/or improvement plan. The final determination shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum except as otherwise authorized by law.

**11.5) Assurance: Evaluators**

Please check the box below.

- [ ] The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal’s evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

**11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators**

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Board of Education will annually certify and recertify the lead evaluator(s) of principals based on evidence of satisfactory completion of training provided by SWB/CES or other regional workshops.

The Superintendent of Schools serves as the lead evaluator of all principals, and the Assistant Superintendent serves as the independent evaluator. All lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators will successfully complete annual training and recertification sessions as required by 3012-d and the nine elements listed Sub-part 30-3.10:

- the New York State teaching standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;
- evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
- application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart;
- application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal’s practice;
- application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals;
- application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the student performance category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals;
- use of the statewide instructional reporting system;
- the scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher’s or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and
- specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

Lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators of principals will annually participate in calibration sessions to ensure inter-rater reliability, including comparisons of component ratings and analysis of disparities. To achieve this purpose, the lead and independent evaluators will annually review, compare, and discussed the factual basis of their rubric ratings for each principal. Past rubrics will also serve as benchmarks for this process.

The duration of annual training and retraining shall be a minimum of one day.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.
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